

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
TUESDAY, DECEMBER 18, 2001  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

- S102618 People, Plaintiff and Respondent  
3rd Dist. v.  
C035468 Julius Jones, Defendant and Appellant  
Pursuant to written request, petitioner's pro-per petition for review is ordered withdrawn.
- 1st Dist. Underground Construction Inc., Petitioner  
A097125 v.  
Div. 3 San Francisco County Superior Court, Respondent  
S102942 Willie Harris et al., Real Parties in Interest  
Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.
- 4th Dist. Susie Rudzik, Respondent  
E028004 v.  
Div. 2 Loma Linda University Medical Center, Appellant  
S101597 The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to and including January 18, 2002, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied.
- S097344 Summit Financial Holdings Ltd., Respondent  
v.  
Continental Lawyers Title Company, Appellant  
On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's response to amicus curiae brief of American Insurance Association and Association of Southern California Defense Counsel is extended to and including December 28, 2001.
- S099822 Catholic Charities of Sacramento, Inc., Petitioner  
v.  
Superior Court of Sacramento County, Respondent  
Department of Managed Health Care et al.,  
Real Parties in Interest  
On application of real parties in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to and including January 18, 2002.  
No further extensions of time will be granted.

- 2nd Dist.      Transfer Orders  
Div. 5          The following matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal,  
Second Appellate District, are transferred from Division Five to  
Division Eight.  
                 B150667      The People v. Darlo Starr  
                 B152412      The People v. Treyvon S.
- 2nd Dist.      The People  
B151722          v.  
Mark Anthony Hall  
                 The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal,  
Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Two to  
Division Eight.
- 2nd Dist.      The People  
B153928          v.  
Daniel Romero Villegas  
                 The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal,  
Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division One to  
Division Eight.
- Bar  
Misc.  
4186            In the Matter of the Application of the Committee of Bar Examiners  
of the State of California for Admission of Attorneys  
                 The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the  
following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for  
admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to  
the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to  
the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another  
time and place:  
                 (LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)
- S102863        In the Matter of the Resignation of **Charles Albert Price**  
A Member of the State Bar of California  
                 The voluntary resignation of **Charles Albert Price, State Bar**  
**No. 027568**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted  
without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary  
proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek  
reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the  
California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in

subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S102871

In the Matter of the Resignation of **James C. Lopez**

A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **James C. Lopez, State Bar No. 153229**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)